

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL POSS DUPE

PAGE 01 GENEVA 03404 121413Z

43/46

ACTION DLOS-05

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-10 ISO-00 OFA-01 OIC-02 AF-06 ARA-06

EA-06 NEA-09 FEA-01 ACDA-05 AGR-05 AID-05 CEA-01

CEQ-01 CG-00 CIAE-00 CIEP-01 COME-00 DODE-00 DOTE-00

EB-07 EPA-01 ERDA-05 FEAEE-00 FMC-01 H-02 INR-07

INT-05 JUSE-00 L-02 NSAE-00 NSC-05 NSF-01 OES-03

OMB-01 PA-01 PM-03 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 TRSE-00

/145 W

----- 101060

R 101230Z MAY 75

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2809

INFO AMEMBASSY PARIS

C O N F I D E N T I A L GENEVA 3404

C O R R E C T E D C O P Y (MRN 3404 VICE 31)

FROM USDEL LOS

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PLOS

SUBJECT: LOS: EXCHANGE OF VIEW WITH FRENCH DEL ON CI AND OTHER ISSUES

1. ON MAY 8, 1975, THE FRENCH CI REP ASKED IF THE US CI  
REP COULD MEET WITH THE HEAD OF HER DEL CONCERNING SOME  
FRENCH IDEAS WITH RESPECT TO THE NEXT PHASE OF LOS  
ACTIVITY. PRESENT AT THE MEETING WERE GUY DE LACHERIERRE,  
HEAD OF THE FRENCH DEL, ROGER JEANNEL, MARIE-ANNIE  
MARTIN-SANE AND US CI REP RATINER.

2. DE LACHERIERRE OPENED THE CONVERSATION WITH A  
LENGTHY ATTACK ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 GENEVA 03404 121413Z

AND IN PARTICULAR THE ATTEMPT TO ESTABLISH QTE A  
GLOBAL PARLIAMENT UNQTE FOR THE DEEP SEABED IN CI. THE

KEY POINT OF HIS STATEMENT WAS THAT EVEN IF IT WERE POSSIBLE TO OBTAIN A SATISFACTORY TREATY IN CI, IT WOULD BE VIOLATED BY THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES IN PRACTICE AND, THEREFORE, IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO PROTECT THE INTERESTS OF THE US AND FRENCH NO MATTER WHAT THE TREATY SAID. HE WENT ON TO INDICATE THAT IN HIS VIEW THE BETTER APPROACH TO THE LOS WOULD BE TO NEGOTIATE LIMITED MEMBERSHIP TREATIES WITH LIKE-MINDED STATES CONCERNING ALL ISSUES IN THE LOS BUT HE SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED FISHERIES AND THE DEEP SEABED. HE DESCRIBED THESE LIMITED MEMBERSHIP TREATIES AS BEING SIMILAR TO THE FRENCH CONCEPT FOR A NEW ANTARTIC RESOURCE TREATY. THAT CONCEPT AS HE DESCRIBED IT WOULD BE THAT LIKE-MINDED STATES WOULD AGREE ON THE LEGAL REGIME AND MACHNIERY FOR IMPLEMENTATION BUT OPEN BOTH THE TREATY AND THE RESOURCES TO ANY COUNTRY WHICH ACCESSED TO THE TREATY. HIS KEY POINT WAS THAT THE LIKE-MINDED STATES WOULD SET UP THE RULES OF THE GAME AND OTHERS COULD JOIN IF THEY WANTED TO PLAY BY THOSE RULES. IN RESPECT TO ANTATCTICA HE SPECIFICALLY SAID THAT THE ORIGINAL MEMBER STATES WOULD HAVE FREE ACCESS TO ALL AREAS OF ANTARTICA INCLUDING THE TERRITORIAL CLAIMS OF OTHERS. HE DID NOT SAY WHETHER HIS GENERAL VIEWS WOULD BE THE SAME ON ACCESS TO THE RESOURCES IN ANTARTICA FOR STATES WHICH LATER ACCESSED TO THE NEW ANTARTIC RESOURCES TREATY.

3. DE LACHERIERRE SUGGESTED THAT AN APPROACH LIKE THIS IN THE DEEP SEABED MIGHT BE WORTH PURSUING AND WONDERED IF PERHAPS THE FIRST STEP MIGHT NOT BE TO DISCUSS THE MATTER AT THE GROUP OF FIVE MEETING SCHEDULED FOR TOKYO IN JULY, WITH A VIEW TOWARD INITIATING DISCUSSIONS IN THE OECD, HE SAID THAT AT FIRST IT COULD BE A GENERAL REVIEW OF LOS AND PERHAPS OUT OF SUCH DISCUSSIONS COULD EMERGE A PRE-PLANNED US/FRENCH APPROACH ALONG THE LINES DESCRIBED ON HOW SUCH OECD TALKS WOULD BE FOLLOWED UP, FOR EXAMPLE, WITH RESPECT TO FISHERIES. HE SAID THESE APPROACHES MIGHT THEN BE EXAMINED IN ICNAF AND WITH RESPECT TO DEEP SEABEDS. IT

CONFIDENTIAL  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 GENEVA 03404 121413Z

MIGHT BE NECESSARY TO ESTABLISH A SUI GENERIS GROUP WHICH WOULD INCLUDE THE SOVIET UNION. HE ALSO STATED THAT WHILE FRENCH POLICY WAS SOMEWHAT COOL TOWARD THE ATLANTIC ALLIANCE, THE FRENCH WERE STEADY SUPPORTERS OF OECD ACTIVITY.

4. THROUGHOUT THE CONVERSATION IT WAS CLEAR, AT TIMES EXPLICIT AND OTHER TIMES IMPLICIT, THAT DELACHERIERRE WAS PUTTING HIS IDEAS FORWARD AS A POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVE TO US UNILATERAL LEGISLATION IN BOTH FISHERIES AND

DEEP SEABED MATTERS, BUT WITH GREATEST EMPHASIS ON THE DEEP SEABED. HE WONDERED WHETHER IF SUCH AN APPROACH WERE BEING PURSUED IT WOULD NOT ADEQUATELY PROTECT US INTERESTS IN THE DEEP SEABED AND AT THE SAME TIME PROTECT US PUBLIC IMAGE FROM CRITICISM IF UNILATERAL APPROACH WERE ADOPTED.

5. RATINER REPLIED THAT HE WOULD FULLY REPORT DE LACHERIERRE'S VIEWS TO THIS DEL. HE INDICATED THAT IT WAS UNLIKELY IN THE DEEP SEABED AREA THAT THE US WOULD ACT PRECIPITOUSLY ALTHOUGH CONGRESS WAS LITTLE LESS PREDICTABLE THAN THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH. HE DID NOT ENCOURAGE OR DISCOURGE THE IDEA OF AN OECD STUDY PENDING FURTHER GUIDANCE FROM HIS DEL AND INDICATED THAT IT MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE FRENCH TO INDICATE THEIR VIEWS TO THE GROUP OF FIVE AT THE HEADS OF DEL MEETING IN TOKYO IN JULY. IF THIS WERE DONE THE US COULD THEN USE THE INTERVENING PERIOD TO CONSIDER THE FRENCH APPROACH. RATINER QUERIED WHETHER DE LACHERIERRE WAS THINKING OF A SUBSTITUTE APPROACH TO THE LOS CONFERENCE OR A CONTINGENCY COMPANION APPROACH. DE LACHERIERRE SAID QTE FOR THE TIME BEING UNQTE THIS COULD BE THOUGHT OF AS A COMPANION TO THE LOS CONFERENCE WHICH WE, THE FRENCH, WOULD OF COURSE CONTINUE TO ATTEND AND WOULD NEGOTIATE IN GOOD FAITH. RATINER QUERIED WHETHER DE LACHERIERRE'S VIEWS PARTICULARLY WITH REGARD TO THE TAKING OF AN INITIATIVE IN THE OECD, REPRESENTED FRENCH GOVERNMENT POLICY. DE LACHERIERRE REPLIED THAT IT WAS HIS INTENTION TO SEEK THE APPROVAL OF THE FRENCH GOVT FOR AN APPROACH ALONG THESE LINES AFTER HIS RETUN TO PARIS.

CONFIDENTIAL  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 GENEVA 03404 121413Z

6. IT WAS CLEAR AT THE END OS THIS CONVERSATION THAT NEXT MOVE IS IN PARIS BUT US MIGHT EXPECT SIMILAR APPROACH AT HEADS OF DEL MEETING OF GROUP OF FIVE AND SHOULD BE PREPARED TO RESPOND. ABRAMS

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** LAW OF THE SEA, MEETING AGENDA, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 10 MAY 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** ElyME  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975GENEVA03404  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750165-0737  
**From:** GENEVA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750563/aaaaceyo.tel  
**Line Count:** 156  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION DLOS  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** ElyME  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 14 MAY 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <14 MAY 2003 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <01 OCT 2003 by ElyME>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** LOS: EXCHANGE OF VIEW WITH FRENCH DEL ON CI AND OTHER ISSUES  
**TAGS:** PLOS, US, FR  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006